



Attorney Docket No.: 019411-001410US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Reg & Record plication of: Ray Frankulin et al. Art Unit: 3714 RESPONSE RESPONSE

In re application of:

Ray Frankulin et al.

Application No.: 09/939,233

Filed: August 24, 2001

For: PAGING SYSTEM AND LOCATION VERIFICATION FOR REMOTE ACCESS TO WAGERING

SYSTEMS

RECEIVED MAR 2 8 2003

TECHNOLOGY GENTER RO769

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed December 20, 2002, please consider the following Remarks.

REMARKS

Claims 1-17 are pending.

Claims 1 and 10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Scagnelli et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,921,865).

Claims 2-9 and 11-17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Scagnelli et al. in view of Wicks (U.S. Patent No. 6,011,485).

These rejections are respectfully traversed and reconsideration is respectfully requested.

As the Examiner is aware, in order to establish a prima facie case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, the Examiner must identify prior art declaring all the salient elements recited in the claims. Second, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the reference or to